Secrt. II-27--40,004--6-11-28.

L.S.R (1929) Department.

This SERIES.

G.O. No. 1136 P H

DATED 1-5-1929

[Despatch Abstract.]

Contribution Puttur Taluk Board - percentage contribution - enhanced rate - Assistant Surgeon, Bellangadi hospital - reconsideration of G.O. No. 229 P H. dated 23-3-1929 - refused

Advance Copy

U.F. 50.

DEPARTMENT. Nos.

(D)

Received ..... 192 . Registered 5605 192

Subject.

CONTRIBUTION:- Puttur Taluk Board - South Kanara Dt. - percentage - pay of Assistant Surgeon - Beltangady --- Hospital - enhanced rate - refusal - G.O.No.779, P.H; dated 23rd March 1929 - review urged - report sent.

****

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies ....

Ref.C. No. 679-Sup'28.

Station PUTTUR; , Dated the 13th APRIL 1929.

From. (Name) M. R. Ry. Molahalli Shiva Rao, Avl.,
PRESIDENT;
(Designation) Taluk Board, Puttur, S.Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
LOCAL SELF Govt. (PUBLIC HEALTH) Department.
Fort Saint George, Madras.

Through,
The President, District Board,
South Kanara - Mangalore.

Sir,

(K)

Adverting to G.O.No.His.779; P.H; dated 23rd March 1929 in which Government have expressed their inability to sanction payment at 22½% of the pay of the Assistant Surgeon in charge of the Beltangady Hospital because the Surgeon - General is of opinion that there is no necessity for an Asst. Surgeon to be in charge of the small hospital as a competent Sub Assistant Surgeon will also serve the purpose, I have the honour to submit the following and request that ----- Government may be pleased to reconsider the orders passed

and

and to sanction payment of the percentage contribution at the higher rate:-

2. The standing rules and orders prescribe that only Asst. Surgeons should be in charge of Hospitals, no exception being made in the case of such small Hospitals as is suggested now. No doubt recent practice has tended to the appointment of senior grade Sub Asst.Surgeons to be in charge of Hospitals. I do not know if the practice has been observed or countenanced by the higher authorities, sufficiently ~~xxxxx~~ widely and systematically as to lay down the convention that Sub Assistant Surgeons will do for small hospitals. In any case, I am not aware of any Government order, rule or instruction which sanctions or permits such a course and therefore the appointment of an Assistant Surgeon made bona fide and in the best interests of the institution as well as of the public is no way unnecessary or redundent.

3. Beltangady is no doubt a small hospital compared to the bigger institutions in cities and bigger towns but I am almost sure, small as it is, it can bear very favourable comparison with some of the other Hospitals in the district in charge of Assistant Surgeons. As an instance, I may mention the Bantwal Hospital. I believe statistics will place Beltangady along side Bantwal if not in advance of it. That being so, if an Assistant Surgeon is necessary and allowed in the case of Bantwal, Beltangady also -- should follow suit.

4. Beltangady is an important centre which serves medical relief to the northern part of this extensive taluk. The villages around are notoriously unhealthy and there is an ever-growing stream of traffic between this district and the Mysore Province passing through Beltangady. Often large batches of sick coolies of this district returning to their homes turn to this hospital for urgent medical relief. The hospital therefore is developing fast in the scope of its usefulness and already the demands on its present limited accommodations have been very pressing and the addition of more beds has been strongly recommended by the District Medical Officer also. It was therefore found necessary in view of the possibilities and immediate development, to have

an Assistant Surgeon there instead of a mere Sub Asst. --- Surgeon.

5. The ~~f~~ difficulty of securing competent Sub Asst. Surgeons with adequate experience especially for service in the interior of this notoriously unhealthy taluk has also to be recognised. It is therefore very gratifying that I have been able to secure an Assistant Surgeon of ~~the L.M.& S grade whose energy and enthusiasm in his work~~ have been mentioned with appreciation by the District --- Medical Officer. The pay of the Assistant Surgeon is only Rs 115/- with a maximum of Rs 120/-. A competent Sub Asst. Surgeon would cost, according to the Government scale very much more than what I am paying the Assistant Surgeon now. The percentage contribution which I am now asking for is really not very much more than I could have asked.for had I employed a competent Sub Asst.Surgeon. The difference is very in-appreciable especially in view of the higher ---- qualification and therefore of better service to the public which is rendered now.

6. For all these reasons, and in view of the poor ---- finance of this Board to which every pie of additional income would go along way to relieve the strain, I request that Government may be pleased to reconsider the matter and sanction payment of the contribution at the higher rate.

Your most obedient Servant.

16/4

President,
Puttur Taluk Board.

16/4

U.F. 50.

DEPARTMENT.



Received 3 APR 1929 192 . Registered 16605 192 .

Subject.

CONTRIBUTION:- Puttur Taluk Board - South Kanara Dt. - percentage - pay of Assistant Surgeon - Beltangady --- Hospital - enhanced rate - refusal - G.O.No.779, P.H; dated 23rd March 1929 - review urged - report sent.

****



Ref.C. No. 679-Sup'28.

Station PUTTUR; , Dated the 13th APRIL 1929 .

From (Name) M. R. Ry. Molahalli Shiva Rao, Avl.,
PRESIDENT;
(Designation) Taluk Board, Puttur, S.Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
LOCAL SELF Govt. (PUBLIC HEALTH) Department.
Fort Saint George, Madras.

Sir, Through, The President, District Board,
South Kanara - Mangalore.

Adverting to G.O.No.Mis.779; P.H; dated 23rd March 1929 in which Government have expressed their inability to sanction payment at 22½% of the pay of the Assistant Surgeon in charge of the Beltangady Hospital because the Surgeon - General is of opinion that there is no necessity for an Asst. Surgeon to be in charge of the small hospital as a competent Sub Assistant Surgeon will also serve the purpose, I have the honour to submit the following and request that ----- Government may be pleased to reconsider the orders passed

and

and to sanction payment of the percentage contribution at the - higher rate:-

2. The standing rules and orders prescribe that ~~any~~ only Asst. Surgeons should be in charge of Hospitals, no exception being made in the case of such small Hospitals as is suggested now. No doubt recent practice has tended to the appointment of senior grade Sub Asst.Surgeons to be in charge of Hospitals. I do not know if the practice has been observed or countenanced by the - higher authorities, sufficiently ~~early~~ widely and systematically as to lay down the convention that Sub Assistant Surgeons will do for small hospitals. In any case, I am not aware of any Government order, rule,or instruction which sanctions or permits such a course and therefore the appointment of an Assistant Surgeon made bona fide and in the best interests of the institution as well as of the public is no way unnecessary or redundent.

3. Beltangady is no doubt a small hospital compared to the bigger institutions in cities and bigger towns but I am almost sure, small as it is, it can bear very favourable comparison with some of the other Hospitals in the district in charge of Assistant Surgeons. As an instance, I may mention the Bantwal Hospital. I believe statistics will place Beltangady along-side Bantwal if not in advance of it. That being so, if an Assistant Surgeon is necessary and allowed in the case of Bantwal, Beltangady also -- should follow suit.

4. Beltangady is an important centre which serves medical - relief to the northern part of this extensive taluk. The villages around are notoriously unhealthy and there is an ever-growing - stream of traffic between this district and the Mysore Province passing through Beltangady. Often large batches of sick coolies of this district returning to their homes turn to this hospital for urgent medical relief. The hospital therefore is developing fast in the scope of its usefulness and already the demands on its present limited accommodations have been very pressing and the addition of more beds has been strongly recommended by the District Medical Officer also. It was therefore found necessary in view of the possibilities and immediate development, to have

an Assistant Surgeon there instead of a mere Sub Asst. --- Surgeon.

5. The difficulty of securing competent Sub Asst. Surgeons with adequate experience especially for service in the interior of this notoriously unhealthy taluk has also to be recognised. It is therefore very gratifying that I have been able to secure an Assistant Surgeon of the L.M & S grade whose energy and enthusiasm in his work have been mentioned with appreciation by the District --- Medical Officer. The pay of the Assistant Surgeon is only Rs 115/- with a maximum of Rs 120/-. A competent Sub Asst. Surgeon would cost, according to the Government scale, very much more than what I am paying the Assistant Surgeon now. The percentage contribution which I am now asking for is really not very much more than I could have asked for, had I employed a competent Sub Asst.Surgeon. The difference is very in-appreciable especially in view of the higher ---- qualification and therefore of better service to the public which is rendered now.

6. For all these reasons, and in view of the poor ---- finance of this Board to which every pie of additional income would go along way to relieve the strain, I request that Government may be pleased to reconsider the matter and sanction payment of the contribution at the higher rate.

Your most obedient Servant.

16/4
President,
Puttur Taluk Board.

16/4

N. Dis 1854 /29.
DB

Endorsement by M. R. Ry Rao Bahadur B. Mahabala Hegde Avargal, B.A.B.L. President, District Board, South Kanara.

Submitted to Government for favourable consideration.

Camp Udipi, 26.4.29.

President, District Board,
South Kanara.

DEST'N TCHED.
27 APR. 1929

Scott. II-5 (a)—48,004—6-11-28. (6)

I. & S. (DIR) DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..	1/5	
When sig ..		
When despatched ..		

54/1.5.

READ AGAIN G.O. No ..... , dated 192

" " " " ..... " ..... 192 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 15605 From the D. T. B. Patkar, No. 679/8 dated 13.4. 1929.

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... 192 .

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... 192 .

ORDER.

No. 1136 Dtt, dated 1/5/ 192 9

Hon Govt see no reason to reconsider the order passed in G.O. No. 779 D. 16. 9 23.3.29

Hon 26.4.29

26.4.29

(1)

To the D.T.B. Patkar

Hon'ble the D.D.B.S. Karwar

" S.S./(a+o)

" S.S./(a+o)

(3)

Dpd. by Van / Post / Messenger

Dpd's no. 84

Date 4/5/ 1929

(2)

To Bags 88 9016

27.4.25

(4)

Mr. Barwal keeps one record to a Sub asst Surgeons charge in G.O. 2019 D 16 9 15.12.23. The S.S. objected to the appointment of one Asst Surgeon to the Hospital in Q.25. The Contributions towards the

pay was fixed on the pay drawn by him which is less than that of a sub asst surgeon. The Asst Surgeon is allowed to draw only 60% of the pay of the Asst Surgeon at Bantwal. The Asst Surgeon at Belthangadi draws a pay more than that of the Asst Surgeon at Bantwal. The argument of the petitioner in para 3 of his letter is untenable. The original petitioner has not been paid it is stated by the learned D.D.A.S. Kanara has no objection. The request may be rejected.

26.6.29

22.7.26